Northern California Law Group, PC. 1 Joseph Feist, SBN 249447 2611 Esplanade 2 Chico, CA 95973 Tel: 530-433-0233 | Fax: 916-426-7848 3 info@norcallawgroup.net 4 Attorney for Claimant Eric Rix 5 6 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 8) Case No. 19-30088-DM In re 9 PG&E Corporation,) Chapter 11) Lead Case, Jointly Administered 10 and) MOTION PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. 11 PROC. 7015 AND 7017 TO ENLARGE TIME PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC TO FILE PROOF OF CLAIM PURSUANT COMPANY, 12 TO FED. R. BANKR. PROC. 9006(b)(1) Debtors. 13 Date: October 11th, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time) 14 [x] Affects both Debtors Place: Telephonic/Video Appearances Only *All paper shall be filed in the Lead Case, United States Bankruptcy Court 15 No. 19-30088-DM Courtroom 17, 450 Golden Gate Ave., 16th Floor 16 San Francisco, CA Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali 17 Objection Deadline: September 27th, 2022 18 19 BACKGROUND 20 Pursuant to Rules 015 and 7017 of Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, which incorporate 21 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15 and 17, by this motion ("Motion"), Eric Rix ("Movant") moves 22 the court for an order expanding the time to file a Proof of Claim, and allow, Claim Number (not yet 23 24

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 12936 Filed: 09/07/221 - Entered: 09/07/22 11:58:46 Page 1

assigned), that was filed on September 7th, 2022, with Prime Clerk and submitted with this motion as "Exhibit A", to be considered timely filed.

This Motion is based upon the points and authorities set forth herein and the concurrently filed Notice of Hearing, Declaration of Eric Rix and Declaration of attorney Joseph Feist in support of the Motion, in addition to any evidence or oral argument presented at the time of any hearing on this matter. In support thereof, the Movant, by and through him undersigned counsel, respectfully represent as follows:

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

The general bar date in these cases was October 21, 2019 ("Original Bar Date"). The process for submission of timely claims was continued after the Original Bar Date. Pursuant to the Stipulation Between Debtors and Official Committee of Tort Claimants to Extend Bar Date for Fire Claimants and for Appointment of Claims Representative (Dkt No. 4651), the Original Bar Date was extended for the benefit of Unfiled Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. (Prevailing Pacific Time). The Debtors' Chapter 11 plan ("Plan") was confirmed by court order on June 20, 2020, and pursuant to the Notice of Effective Date, the Plan became effective as of July 1, 2020.

This Motion concerns the claim of Eric Rix who never submitted a claim until he hired counsel on September 6th, 2022, and a claim was prepared and submitted on his behalf on September 7th, 2022. Mr. Rix's filing is for an emotional distress claim including zone of danger and nuisance. Mr. Rix lived and continues to live in Magalia, CA before the fire erupted and destroyed his community. Claimant has suffered immensely from losing those he had created meaningful relationships with over the course of the years and loosing cherished personal property. Movant respectfully requests his late claim be accepted and deemed timely.

Under Rules 7015 and 7017 of Federal Bankruptcy Procedure (which incorporate Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 15(c) and 17(a)(3)) because there is a lack of bad faith on the part of the

¢ase: 19-30088 Doc# 12936 Filed: 09/07/222 - Entered: 09/07/22 11:58:46 Page 2

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

Movant and his counsel in failing to submit Mr. Rix's original claim, it is respectfully requested the Court allow an extension of the bar date required to effectuate the purpose of Rules 7015 and 7015, it is respectfully requested the mistake should be allowed to be corrected by permitting the late filing of the Proof of Claim under Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b).

Application of the *Pioneer* factors shows that Movants' late filing is the result of excusable neglect and therefore permissible under Rule 9006(b)(1), the Supreme Court explained that Congress, by empowering the courts to accept late filings where the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect, plainly contemplated that courts would be permitted, where appropriate, to accept late filings caused by inadvertence, mistake or carelessness, as well as by intervening circumstances beyond the party's control. Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Associates L.P., (1993) 507 U.S. 380 at 388. The Supreme Court further clarified that whether a claimant's neglect of a deadline is excusable is an equitable determination, taking account of all the relevant circumstances surrounding the claimant's omission. See id. At 395. These equitable considerations include (1) The danger of prejudice to the debtor, (2) The length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings, (3) The reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, and (4) whether the movant acted in good faith.

As to the first factor, Movants' late filing will cause no prejudice to Debtors, since the Plan has been confirmed, the associated Fire Victim Trust funded, and so the inclusion of the Movants' claim in the pool of fire victim claims will have no impact at all on the Debtors or the bankruptcy estates.

As to the second factor, Movants' delay in filing this claim and any resultant impact on these proceedings are exceedingly modest and immaterial for the same reasons that Debtors will not be prejudiced.

As to the third factor, the reason for the delay and whether it was in Movants' reasonable control, he had a reasonable belief that he was not entitled to any claim against PG&E due to his primary residence not suffering any physical damage. The Movant had a traumatic evacuation experience and it led to severe mental anguish, preventing the Movant from prioritizing becoming informed of his rights as a victim in order to file in a timely manner.

As a final factor, the Movant is acting in good faith in filing the claim as he was renter at the time of the fire, has continued to be, and suffered the great loss of him community along with extensive mental anguish as a result of his evacuation experience. Based on the above and supporting evidence, Claimants' counsel requests the claim be allowed due to his client's lack of bad faith in him belief that filing a timely proof was not necessary as his home did not suffer any physical damage.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Movants respectfully request that this Court enter an order pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 7015, 7017, 9006(b)(1) as follows:

- 1. Granting this Motion;
- 2. Directing that Exhibit A, the Proof of Claim, Claim Number (not yet assigned), be deemed timely filed;
- 3. Granting such other or further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: 9/7/2022

___/s/ Joseph K. Feist___

Joseph K. Feist Attorney for Claimant